Facilit	y Specific Standard	Variance	Data Sh	ieet
	lete this form electronically. Record			
	icking on them. Do not delete or alter			
Attach additional sheets	 Please ensure that all data requeste if needed. 	d are included ai	id as complete	e as possible.
Section I: Gener	al Information			
A. Name of Permittee:	Brenna Stow			
B. Facility Name: Green	een Bay Packaging – Mills Division			
C. Submitted by: Wi	sconsin Department of Natural Resourc	es		
D. State: Wisconsin	Substance: Mercury	Date con		after
		STS #:		(EPA USE ONLY)
F. Duration of Variance		End Da	ate: 09/30/2	2016
G. Date of Variance App				
H. Is this permit a:	First time submittal for varian			
	Renewal of a previous submitt	al for variance (Complete Secti	on X)
I. Description of propos				
	Inc. Mill Division produces paper for the			
	e of 625 tons per day of liner board and			
	n the pulp recovery and paper making p			
	Over the last five years, an average of 9			
	nan 87% (836,000 gal/day) of the discha			
	bumps. The remainder is from Green Ba			
	ater from cooling pumps, air compresso	rs, air conditioner	s, paper rolls,	and boiler room
wastewater.	has been monitoring manager; for the mo	et couple mamoit t	amas and that	
	has been monitoring mercury for the pa			
	mercury concentrations above the water			reen Bay
Packaging also submit	ted a pollutant minimization plan (PMF) on May 50, 201	+.	
Citation: An alternativ	ve mercury effluent limitation under s. 1	NR 106 145 Wis	Adm. Code re	nresents a variance
	ards authorized by s. 283.15, Wis. Stats.		riam. code re	presents a variance
	ed in the compilation of data for this f			
Name	Email	Phone	Contribution	n
Brenna Stow	Brenna.Stow@wisconsin.gov	608-267-7640	Contribution	
David Gerdman	David.Gerdman@wisconsin.gov	920-662-5133		
Jim Schmidt	JamesW.Schmidt@wisconsin.gov	608-267-7658	Parts II D-H	and I
Others?	James W. Semmut & Wisconsin.gov	000-207-7050	Tarts II D II	and 3
Others:	1			
Section II: Criteria and Variance Information				
A. Water Quality Stand	ard from which variance is sought:	1.3 ng/L Wildli	fe Criterion	
B. List other criteria likely to be affected by variance: 1.5 ng/L Human Threshold Criterion				
C. Source of Substance: Fox River intake water, bentonite, sodium hydroxide, sulfuric acid, trace amounts in raw				
materials				
Devices: switches, ballasts, lamps, thermometers, batteries				
D. Ambient Substance C			Measured	Estimated
7.607ng/L			Default	☐ Unknown
E. If measured or estimated, what was the basis? Include citation. Fox River water samples were collected for				
the past couple permit terms; the average ambient concentration from 2010 – current (n=25) is 7.607 ng/L with a				
range from 0.25 ng/L to 26.5 ng/L. Fox River water samples have been collected quarterly by GBP and reported				
	nitoring Reports. Results are stored in			
WPDES database.				

F. Average effluent discharge rate:	0.952 MGE		ent discharge	rate: 1.300 MGD	
	(2010-2016	5)		(08/04/2012)	
G. Effluent Substance Concentration	6.346 ng	g/L	⊠ Measured	Estimated	
			☐ Default	☐ Unknown	
H. If measured or estimated, what wa					
the past couple permit terms; the ave					
range from 0.25 ng/L to 21.0 ng/L. I					
reported on their Discharge Monitor	ing Reports.	Results are stored in the V	Visconsin Depa	rtment of Natural	
Resources WPDES database.					
I. Level currently achievable (LCA):	24.0 ng/L	1			
J. Variance Limit: 24.0 ng/L					
K. What data were used to calculate	the LCA, and	I how was the LCA deriv	v ed? (Immediai	te compliance with	
LCA is required.)	(21) 6	2000 2014	1 1 . 1 . 10		
	Green Bay Packaging effluent samples (n=21) from 2009-2014 were used to calculate the LCA and variance limit.				
	These samples were accompanied by field blanks and paired with influent samples because GBP achievable limit is based on the incoming river water mercury concentrations.				
Citation: s. NR 106.145(5), Wis. Adm.		centrations.			
L. Explain the basis used to determin		a limit (which must be	(I CA) Includ	la aitatian	
The variance limit = 1 Day P99. The lim					
M. Select all factors applicable as the				$\frac{1}{3}$ $\boxed{4}$ $\boxed{5}$ $\boxed{6}$	
under 40 CFR 131.10(g). Summar			_1	3 [4 [3 [] 0	
Section NR 106.145(1), Wis. Adm.			ify variances fo	or mercury. The	
Department intended that this provision be generally applicable to all dischargers of mercury, which produce large volumes of effluent with already extremely low mercury concentrations. The Department considers					
treating to produce effluent at conce		•			
The second secon			,		
Citation: Assessing the Economic I	mpacts of the	Proposed Ohio EPA Wate	er Rules on the	Ohio Economy, April	
24, 1997, Ohio Environmental Prote	ction Agency	, Division of Surface Wate	er and Foster W	heeler Environmental	
Corporation and DRI/McGraw-Hill	in support of A	Amended and New Rules	in OAC Chapte	ers 3745-1, -2, and -	
33.					
Section III: Location Information	ation				
A. Counties in which water quality i	s potentially	impacted: Brown			
B. Receiving waterbody at discharge	e point: Lo	ower Fox River			
C. Flows into which stream/river?	Lake Michig	an How n	nany miles dov	wnstream? < 1 mi	
D. Coordinates of discharge point (U	JTM or Lat/l	Long): 44°31'49"N 88	3°00'27"W		
E. What are the designated uses asse			l Aquatic Life,	General, Recreation,	
Public Health and Welfare, Fish Co	nsumption	·	-		
F. What is the distance from the poi	nt of dischar	ge to the point downstre	am where the	concentration of the	
substance falls to less than or equ					
Ambient mercury concentrations in					
levels that result in direct toxicity to aquatic organisms. EPA's current chronic aquatic life criterion for mercury					
is 0.9081 µg/L, which is approximately three orders of magnitude greater than the wildlife criteria (0.0013					
μg/L). Wisconsin's criteria are 0.44 μg/L and 0.83 μg/L for chronic and acute toxicity, respectively.					
G. Provide the equation used to calculate that distance See above.					
H. Identify all other variance permittees for the same substance which discharge to the same stream, river,					
or waterbody in a location where the effects of the combined variances would have an additive effect on					
the waterbody:	Т	·	г.		
Permit Number Facility N	ame	Facility Locatio		ariance Limit [ng/L]	
0001261 Georgia Pacific		Day St., Green Bay, WI	11	72	
0001848 Georgia Pacific		Broadway, Green Bay, V			
0001031 Proctor and Gamb		Green Bay, WI	14		
0000965 WPS Corp Pullian		Green bay, WI	48		
Please attach a map, photographs	s, or a simple	schematic showing the	location of the	discharge point as	

	well as all variances for the substance currently draining to this waterbody on a separate sheet				
I.	· ·	e CWA 303(d) list? If yes, please list	⊠ Yes	☐ No	Unknown
	the impairments below.				
	River Mile	Pollutant		Impairm	ent
0-	7.39	Total Phosphorus	Low DO	Impun m	
_	7.39	PCBs	Contamina	ted Fish T	issue,
			Contamina	ted Sedim	ent
0-	7.39	Sediment/Total Suspended Solids	Degraded l	nabitat	
	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	plete this section only for POTWs with		ved Pretre	eatment
	*	s and Guidance\Pretreatment Programs.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
A.	Are there any industrial users co	ontributing mercury to the POTW? If	f so, please l	list.	
D	And all industrial usage in compl	iones with local nucture twent limits f	~ * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	9 If not n	alaaaa imaluda a
В.		iance with local pretreatment limits for complying with local limits and inc			
		istry (NOVs, industrial SRM updates			
	Services the 1 of the same the much	2502 y (140 v s) maustrial Silvi apauces	dia tiller	unic, ccc)	
C.	When were local pretreatment li	imits for mercury last calculated?			
	-	·			
D.		pecific SRM activities that will be imp		uring the	permit term to
	reduce the industry's discharge	of the variance pollutant to the POTV	V		
Co.	ction V: Public Notice				
26	cuon v: Fudiic Nouce				
A .	Has a muhlia matias has mainsu fa	41.i.a m.u.a.a.a.da.ui.a.a.a.9	□ 1 7.00	□ NI.a	
	Has a public notice been given for		Yes	□ No	□NI/A
B.	If yes, was a public hearing held	as well?	Yes	☐ No	□N/A
B.		as well?	☐ Yes iance includ	☐ No led in not	□N/A ice for permit
B. C.	If yes, was a public hearing held What type of notice was given?	as well?	Yes iance includice of varia	☐ No led in not	
B. C. D.	If yes, was a public hearing held What type of notice was given? Date of public notice:	as well? Notice of var Separate notice	Yes iance includice of varia	☐ No led in not	
B. C. D.	If yes, was a public hearing held What type of notice was given? Date of public notice:	as well? Notice of var Separate noti Date of hearing he public in regards to this notice or	Yes iance includice of variance:	☐ No led in not nce	
B. C. D. E.	If yes, was a public hearing held What type of notice was given? Date of public notice: Were comments received from the second	as well? Notice of var Separate noti Date of hearing he public in regards to this notice or	Yes iance includice of variance:	☐ No led in not nce	
B. C. D. E. Sec	If yes, was a public hearing held What type of notice was given? Date of public notice: Were comments received from the hearing? (If yes, please attach on the ction VI: Human Health Is the receiving water designated.	as well? Notice of var Separate noti Date of hearing he public in regards to this notice or a separate sheet) It as a Public Water Supply?	☐ Yes iance includice of variant: ☐ Yes ☐ Yes	☐ No led in not nce	
B. C. D. E. See A. B.	If yes, was a public hearing held What type of notice was given? Date of public notice: Were comments received from the hearing? (If yes, please attach on the ction VI: Human Health Is the receiving water designated Applicable criteria affected by vertical statements.	as well? Notice of var Separate noti Date of hearing he public in regards to this notice or a separate sheet) l as a Public Water Supply? ariance: 1.5 ng/L Human Threshold	Yes iance includice of variate: Yes Yes Criterion	□ No led in not nce □ No □ No	ice for permit
B. C. D. E. See A. B.	If yes, was a public hearing held What type of notice was given? Date of public notice: Were comments received from the hearing? (If yes, please attach on the ction VI: Human Health Is the receiving water designated Applicable criteria affected by v. Identify any expected impacts the	as well? Notice of var Separate notice Date of hearing he public in regards to this notice or a separate sheet) l as a Public Water Supply? ariance: 1.5 ng/L Human Threshold hat the variance may have upon human	Yes iance includice of variate: Yes Yes Criterion In health, an	□ No led in not nce □ No □ No	ice for permit
B. C. D. E. See A. B.	If yes, was a public hearing held What type of notice was given? Date of public notice: Were comments received from the hearing? (If yes, please attach on the hearing?) Human Health Is the receiving water designated Applicable criteria affected by we dentify any expected impacts the hearing and the heart of the proposed variance will not the heart of the hea	as well? □ Notice of var □ Separate notice Date of hearing he public in regards to this notice or a separate sheet) d as a Public Water Supply? ariance: 1.5 ng/L Human Threshold nat the variance may have upon human of adversely affect human health directly	Yes iance includice of variate: Yes Yes Criterion In health, any through the	□ No led in not nce □ No □ No □ No □ d include the drinking	e any citations:
B. C. D. E. See A. B.	If yes, was a public hearing held What type of notice was given? Date of public notice: Were comments received from the hearing? (If yes, please attach on the receiving water designated applicable criteria affected by volume affected by volume affected by volume affected was af	As well? Notice of var Separate notice Date of hearing he public in regards to this notice or a separate sheet) I as a Public Water Supply? ariance: 1.5 ng/L Human Threshold hat the variance may have upon human of adversely affect human health directly hadvisory program is designed to mitigate	Yes iance includice of variant: Yes Yes Criterion In health, any through the atte the effection	□ No led in not note □ No □ No □ No □ dinclude edrinking t of any an	e any citations: water. nbient mercury
B. C. D. E. See A. B.	If yes, was a public hearing held What type of notice was given? Date of public notice: Were comments received from the hearing? (If yes, please attach on etion VI: Human Health Is the receiving water designated Applicable criteria affected by v. Identify any expected impacts the The proposed variance will not oncentration above the 1.5 ng	As well? Notice of var Separate notice Date of hearing he public in regards to this notice or a separate sheet) It as a Public Water Supply? ariance: 1.5 ng/L Human Threshold hat the variance may have upon human of adversely affect human health directly had advisory program is designed to mitigate g/L water quality criterion for the protect	Yes iance includice of variant: Yes Yes Criterion In health, and the the effection of the feet	No led in not note No No No dinclude e drinking to fany an fish-consu	e any citations: water. nbient mercury ming human
B. C. D. E. See A. B.	If yes, was a public hearing held What type of notice was given? Date of public notice: Were comments received from the hearing? (If yes, please attach on the time of t	As well? Notice of var Separate notice Date of hearing he public in regards to this notice or a separate sheet) I as a Public Water Supply? ariance: 1.5 ng/L Human Threshold hat the variance may have upon human of adversely affect human health directly hadvisory program is designed to mitigate	Yes iance includice of variant: Yes Yes Criterion In health, and the the effection of the feet	No led in not note No No No dinclude e drinking to fany an fish-consu	e any citations: water. nbient mercury ming human
B. C. D. E. See A. B.	If yes, was a public hearing held What type of notice was given? Date of public notice: Were comments received from the hearing? (If yes, please attach on the time of t	Notice of var Separate notice Date of hearing he public in regards to this notice or a separate sheet) d as a Public Water Supply? ariance: 1.5 ng/L Human Threshold hat the variance may have upon huma of adversely affect human health directly had advisory program is designed to mitigate g/L water quality criterion for the protect the to the public to guide them on the am	Yes iance includice of variance: Yes Yes Criterion In health, and the the effection of the frount of fish	No led in not noce No No de drinking to fany an fish-consuthat may be	e any citations: water. mbient mercury ming human be consumed
B. C. D. E. See A. B.	If yes, was a public hearing held What type of notice was given? Date of public notice: Were comments received from the hearing? (If yes, please attach on the time of t	Notice of var Separate notice Date of hearing he public in regards to this notice or a separate sheet) d as a Public Water Supply? ariance: 1.5 ng/L Human Threshold hat the variance may have upon human of adversely affect human health directly had a divisory program is designed to mitigate g/L water quality criterion for the protect the to the public to guide them on the amendment technologies capable of reductive to the public of reductive to the public to guide them on the amendment technologies capable of reductive to the public to guide them on the amendment technologies capable of reductive to the public to guide them on the amendment technologies capable of reductive to the public to guide them on the amendment technologies capable of reductive to the public to guide them on the amendment technologies capable of reductive to the public to guide them on the amendment technologies capable of reductive to the public to guide them on the amendment technologies capable of reductive to the public to guide them on the amendment technologies capable of reductive to the public to guide them on the amendment technologies capable of reductive to the public to guide them on the amendment technologies capable of reductive to the public to guide them on the amendment technologies capable of reductive to the public to guide them on the amendment technologies capable of reductive to the public to guide them on the amendment technologies capable of reductive to the public to guide them on the amendment technologies capable of the public to guide them on the amendment technologies capable of the public to guide them on the amendment technologies capable of the public to guide them on the amendment technologies capable of the public to guide them on the amendment technologies capable of the public to guide them on the amendment technologies capable of the public to guide the public to guide the guide the guide the public to guide the	Yes iance includice of variance : Yes Yes Criterion In health, and the the effection of the frount of fish	No led in not noce No No No dinclude drinking t of any an fish-consultat may be a concentration of the concentrat	e any citations: water. mbient mercury ming human be consumed ations to achieve
B. C. D. E. See A. B.	If yes, was a public hearing held What type of notice was given? Date of public notice: Were comments received from the hearing? (If yes, please attach on the time of t	Notice of var Separate notice Date of hearing he public in regards to this notice or a separate sheet) d as a Public Water Supply? ariance: 1.5 ng/L Human Threshold nat the variance may have upon human of adversely affect human health directly and advisory program is designed to mitigate g/L water quality criterion for the protect the to the public to guide them on the amount treatment technologies capable of reducting a variance in this situation is consistent.	Yes iance includice of variants: Yes Yes Criterion In health, and through the attemption of the frount of fish ring mercury tent with pro-	No led in not noce No No No dinclude drinking tof any antish-consultat may be concentrated to the concent	e any citations: water. mbient mercury ming human be consumed ations to achieve the public health,
B. C. D. E. See A. B.	If yes, was a public hearing held What type of notice was given? Date of public notice: Were comments received from the hearing? (If yes, please attach on exition VI: Human Health Is the receiving water designated Applicable criteria affected by v. Identify any expected impacts the The proposed variance will not concentration above the 1.5 ng population by providing advice safely. Given the lack of wastewater a 1.3 ng/L effluent limit, grant safety and welfare because of	Notice of var Separate notice Date of hearing he public in regards to this notice or a separate sheet) d as a Public Water Supply? ariance: 1.5 ng/L Human Threshold nat the variance may have upon human of adversely affect human health directly advisory program is designed to mitiga g/L water quality criterion for the protect the to the public to guide them on the am treatment technologies capable of reducting a variance in this situation is consist the substantial public health and safety	Yes iance includice of variants: Yes Yes Ves Criterion In health, and through the attemption of the frount of fish ring mercury tent with probenefits of processing in the problem of the from the first outlier of the front outlier outlie	No led in not noce No No No No ordinating to fany and fish-consultat may be concentrated to concentrate to tecting the providing	e any citations: water. mbient mercury ming human be consumed ations to achieve he public health, wastewater
B. C. D. E. See A. B.	If yes, was a public hearing held What type of notice was given? Date of public notice: Were comments received from the hearing? (If yes, please attach on exition VI: Human Health Is the receiving water designated Applicable criteria affected by v. Identify any expected impacts the The proposed variance will not concentration above the 1.5 ng population by providing advice safely. Given the lack of wastewater a 1.3 ng/L effluent limit, grant safety and welfare because of treatment, the continued comments.	As well? Notice of var Separate notice of hearing Date of hearing Notice of var Separate notice of hearing Notice of var Separate notice of hearing Notice of var Separate sheering Notice of var Separate notice of hearing Notice of var Separate notice of hearing As a Public Water Supply? Ariance: 1.5 ng/L Human Threshold Notice of var As a Public Water Supply? Ariance: 1.5 ng/L Human Threshold Notice of var As a Public Water Supply? Ariance: 1.5 ng/L Human Threshold Notice of var Separate notice of hearing As a Public Water Supply? As a Public Water Supply? Ariance: 1.5 ng/L Human Threshold As a Public Water Supply? As a Public Water Supp	Yes iance includice of variant: Yes Yes Criterion In health, and through the effection of the frount of fish ring mercury tent with probenefits of pant minimization.	No led in not noce No No No No ordinating to fany and fish-consultat may be concentrated to concentrate the providing action, the No	e any citations: water. mbient mercury ming human be consumed ations to achieve he public health, wastewater Wisconsin fish
B. C. D. E. See A. B.	If yes, was a public hearing held What type of notice was given? Date of public notice: Were comments received from the hearing? (If yes, please attach on exition VI: Human Health Is the receiving water designated Applicable criteria affected by v. Identify any expected impacts the The proposed variance will not concentration above the 1.5 ng population by providing advice safely. Given the lack of wastewater a 1.3 ng/L effluent limit, grant safety and welfare because of treatment, the continued comments.	Notice of var Separate notice Date of hearing he public in regards to this notice or a separate sheet) d as a Public Water Supply? ariance: 1.5 ng/L Human Threshold nat the variance may have upon human of adversely affect human health directly advisory program is designed to mitiga g/L water quality criterion for the protect the to the public to guide them on the am treatment technologies capable of reducting a variance in this situation is consist the substantial public health and safety	Yes iance includice of variant: Yes Yes Criterion In health, and through the effection of the frount of fish ring mercury tent with probenefits of pant minimization.	No led in not noce No No No No ordinating to fany and fish-consultat may be concentrated to concentrate the providing action, the No	e any citations: water. mbient mercury ming human be consumed ations to achieve he public health, wastewater Wisconsin fish
B. C. D. E. See A. B.	If yes, was a public hearing held What type of notice was given? Date of public notice: Were comments received from the hearing? (If yes, please attach on the cition VI: Human Health Is the receiving water designated applicable criteria affected by volume a	As well? Notice of var Separate notice of hearing Date of hearing Notice of var Separate notice of hearing Notice of var Separate notice of hearing Notice of var Separate sheering Notice of var Separate notice of hearing Notice of var Separate notice of hearing As a Public Water Supply? Ariance: 1.5 ng/L Human Threshold Notice of var As a Public Water Supply? Ariance: 1.5 ng/L Human Threshold Notice of var As a Public Water Supply? Ariance: 1.5 ng/L Human Threshold Notice of var Separate notice of hearing As a Public Water Supply? As a Public Water Supply? Ariance: 1.5 ng/L Human Threshold As a Public Water Supply? As a Public Water Supp	Yes iance includice of variance includice of variance: Yes Yes Criterion In health, and the the effection of the stount of fish ring mercury tent with probenefits of pant minimizations sector in the stound of the stount of the stound of th	No led in not note No No No No ordination include edinking to fany and fish-consultation that may be reconcentration to the concentration, the No given the best of the concentration in the Noroviding station, the Noroviding station in the Noroviding s	e any citations: water. mbient mercury ming human be consumed ations to achieve the public health, wastewater Wisconsin fish background
B. C. D. E. See A. B.	If yes, was a public hearing held What type of notice was given? Date of public notice: Were comments received from the hearing? (If yes, please attach on the time of t	Notice of var Separate notice of hearing	Yes iance includice of variance includice of variance: Yes Yes Criterion In health, and the the effection of the frought of fish eing mercury itent with probenefits of pant minimization of the front	No led in not noce No N	e any citations: water. mbient mercury ming human be consumed ations to achieve the public health, wastewater Wisconsin fish background 0.5 to 0.8% per te trends may
B. C. D. E. See A. B.	If yes, was a public hearing held What type of notice was given? Date of public notice: Were comments received from the hearing? (If yes, please attach on the time of t	Notice of var Separate notice of hearing	Yes iance includice of variance includice of variance includice of variance including the second of	No led in not noce No N	e any citations: water. mbient mercury ming human be consumed ations to achieve the public health, wastewater Wisconsin fish background 0.5 to 0.8% per te trends may n lakes.
B. C. D. E. See A. B.	If yes, was a public hearing held What type of notice was given? Date of public notice: Were comments received from the hearing? (If yes, please attach on the time of time of the time of time	Notice of var Separate notice of hearing	Yes iance includice of variance includice of variance. Yes Yes Criterion In health, and the the effection of the frount of fish ring mercury tent with probenefits of plant minimized centrations and the front of 1982–20 deposited to to the front fish growth.	No led in not nice No N	e any citations: water. mbient mercury ming human be consumed ations to achieve he public health, wastewater Wisconsin fish background 0.5 to 0.8% per e trends may n lakes. dd lake levels,

Patrick A. Campfield. Ecotoxicology (2007) 16:541–550)

Section VII: Aquatic Life and Environmental Impact

- A. Aquatic life use designation of receiving water: Warm Water Sport Fish
- **B.** Applicable criteria affected by variance: 1.3 ng/L Wildlife Criterion
- C. Identify any environmental impacts to aquatic life expected to occur with this variance, and include any citations:

Ambient mercury concentrations in surface water resulting from the variance will be substantially less than levels that result in direct toxicity to aquatic organisms. EPA's current chronic aquatic life criterion for mercury is 0.9081 μ g/L, which is approximately three orders of magnitude greater than the wildlife criteria (0.0013 μ g/L). Wisconsin's criteria are 0.44 μ g/L and 0.83 μ g/L for chronic and acute toxicity, respectively.

Other environmental impacts might occur to non-aquatic species through more indirect exposure pathways (i.e., diet). For some species, like the bald eagle (Haliaeetus leucocephalus), recent trends indicate that mercury exposure is decreasing. Bald eagles consume fish and waterfowl from surface waters, which puts them at risk of exposure to toxic levels of mercury due to bioaccumulation from their prey organisms. However, ambient surface water data has shown that mercury levels have not increased in recent decades and bald eagle populations have continued to grow. In fact, the Bald eagle has been delisted from federal status due to recovery. We believe that the bald eagle is representative of other species that are located at higher trophic levels. The consistent environmental levels of mercury and recovery of the bald eagle populations in Wisconsin suggest that the potential impact of mercury resulting from the variance on higher trophic level organisms is minimal.

Although this variance will allow permitted dischargers additional time to identify and control sources of mercury in their discharges, the pollutant minimization component of the variance should result in a net reduction in the amount of mercury discharged to Wisconsin surface waters from permitted point sources further reducing risk to bald eagles and other wildlife. In addition, the pollutant minimization programs for mercury typically result in other pollution prevention efforts that have a beneficial indirect effect of reducing the use and production of products and processes that use or contribute mercury to the environment. These efforts will also reduce any potential for negative impacts.

For other species at lower trophic levels, the amount of mercury that they are likely to be exposed to via their diet is much lower than species in the higher trophic levels. Therefore, the mercury concentrations resulting from the variance are not expected to negatively impact these species. This would include species like Piping plover, Eastern massasauga rattlesnake and freshwater mussels.

D. List any Endangered or Threatened species known or likely to occur within the affected area, and include any citations:

Because mercury is pervasive, persistent and bio accumulating in the environment we considered all species listed for the entire state of Wisconsin. The following is Federally Endangered, Threatened, Proposed, and Candidate Species in Wisconsin From U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Region 3, April 2015

MAMMALS

Canada lynx (T)

Gray wolf (E)

Northern long-eared bat (T)

BIRDS

Kirtland's warbler (E)

Piping plover (E and CH)

Red Knot (T)

Whooping crane - (NEP)

REPTILE

Eastern massasauga rattlesnake (C)

INSECTS

Hine's emerald dragonfly (E)

Karner blue butterfly (E)

Poweshiek skipperling (E and PCH)

CLAMS (Freshwater mussels, Unionids)

Higgins' eye pearlymussel (E)

Snu	Sheepnose mussel (E) Snuffbox (E)				
	Spectaclecase mussel (E) Winged mapleleaf mussel (E)				
	Citation: U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service – Environmental Conservation Online System (http://www.fws.gov/endangered/) and National Heritage Index (http://dnr.wi.gov/topic/nhi/)				
Sec	ction VIII: Economic Impact and Feasibilit				
		V			
	The Department did not evaluate what actions or modifications or other changes would be needed to meet limits based on the water quality standard. As discussed below, the Department considers treating to produce effluent at concentrations to meet the limit to be technically and economically infeasible. Citation: Assessing the Economic Impacts of the Proposed Ohio EPA Water Rules on the Ohio Economy, April 24, 1997, Ohio Environmental Protection Agency, Division of Surface Water and Foster Wheeler Environmental Corporation and DRI/McGraw-Hill in support of Amended and New Rules in OAC Chapters 3745-1, -2, and -33.				
ь.	 Identify any expected environmental impacts that would result from further treatment, and include any citations: See above. 				
C	Is it technically and economically feasible for this p	permittee to modify Yes No Unknown			
C.	the treatment process to reduce the level of the sub discharge?	, — — —			
The	The Department considers treating to produce effluent at concentrations to meet the limit to be technically and				
	economically infeasible.				
	Citation: Assessing the Economic Impacts of the Proposed Ohio EPA Water Rules on the Ohio Economy, April 24,				
	1997, Ohio Environmental Protection Agency, Division of Surface Water and Foster Wheeler Environmental				
		d and New Rules in OAC Chapters 3745-1, -2, and -33.			
	If treatment is possible, is it possible to comply with substance?				
	If yes, what prevents this from being done? Include any citations. See above.				
F.	C. List any alternatives to current practices that have been considered, and why they have been rejected as a course of action, including any citations:				
Sec	ction IX: Compliance with Water Quality	y Standards			
	1	g, conducted to reduce the discharge of the substance			
	into the receiving stream. This may include existing				
	promising centralized or remote treatment technologie PMP	ogies, planned research, etc. Include any citations.			
В.	B. Describe all actions that the permit requires the permittee to complete during the variance period to ensure reasonable progress towards attainment of the water quality standard. Include any citations. See PMP				
Sec	Section X: Compliance with Previous Permit (Variance Reissuances Only)				
	Date of previous submittal:	Date of EPA Approval:			
	Previous Permit #:	Previous WQSTS #: (EPA USE ONLY)			
C.	Effluent substance concentration:	Variance Limit:			
D.	Target Value(s):	Achieved? Yes No Partial			
E.	For renewals, list previous steps that were to be con	•			
	completed in compliance with the terms of the previous variance permit. Attach additional sheets if necessary.				
	Condition of Previous Variance	Compliance			
		☐ Yes ☐ No			